

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/014,677	12/11/2001	Jonathan Kahn	9761730-0016	3203	
7590 09/20/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL			RIVERO, N	RIVERO, MINERVA	
Wacker Drive Station, Sears Tower P.O. Box 061080 Chicago, IL 60606-1080			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2655	2655	
		DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005		5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/014,677	KAHN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Minerva Rivero	2655			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Ju</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or son Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable.	rn from consideration. election requirement.	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

1. In the Remarks submitted 6/27/05, Applicants added claim 2 and submitted arguments for allowability of pending claims.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicants' arguments filed 6/27/05 (see Remarks, Page 3) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claim 1, Applicants argue that Holt *et al.* fail to teach 'the concept of a "transcribed text file" versus a "verbatim text file". The examiner cannot concur with the Applicants, Holt *et al.* do disclose distinctive transcribed (*dictated text is transcribed*, Col. 12, Lines 13-19) and verbatim text files (*text corrected by the user is linked to the speech recognition engine for improvement of accuracy or updating*, Col. 4, Lines 32-35; *background window*, Col. 8, Line 53 - Col. 9, Line 6; Col. 5, Lines 31-56) [See Applicants' Specification, Page 16, Lines 14-21 (*verbatim text window is a correction window*), see also Fig. 3, element 308.]

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/014,677 Page 3

Art Unit: 2655

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Holt *et al.* (US Patent 5,960,447).

Regarding claim 1, Holt et al. disclose a method of

- (i) loading a first window with a transcribed text file having a plurality of words, where the transcribed text file is associated with the audio file (*displaying the alternative words*, Col. 5, Lines 5-11; Fig. 4, element 73);
- (ii) loading a second window with the verbatim text file having a plurality of words (displaying the edited document, Col. 3, Lines 25-26);
- (iii) selecting at least one word from the transcribed text file and at least one word from the verbatim text file (Col. 2, Lines 7-12; selecting among various alternatives, Col. 4, Lines 23-27; Fig. 4, elements 70 and 73);
- (iv) linking the at least one word form the transcribed text file and the at least one word from the verbatim text file (Col. 3, Lines 63-66; Col. 4, Lines 23-27; Fig. 4, elements 70 (*verbatim text*) and 73 (*transcribed text*)) and
- (v) repeating (iii) and (iv) until all the words in the verbatim text file have been linked (information that needs to be linked, Col. 3, Lines 63-66).

Application/Control Number: 10/014,677 Page 4

Art Unit: 2655

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holt *et al.* (US Patent 5,960,447) in view of Bijl *et al.* (US Patent 6,366,882).

Regarding claim 2, Holt et al. do not explicitly disclose but Bijl et al. suggest loading of the first and second windows allows for simultaneous viewing of at least an active portion of both of the first and second windows (simultaneous display of multiple documents with possible cut-and-paste operations between them, Col. 12, Lines 42-50).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to supplement the teachings of Holt *et al.* with loading the first and second window so as to allow simultaneous viewing of at least an active portion of both of the first and second windows, as taught by Bijl *et al.*, since simultaneously displaying both windows allows the user or editor to view the text as originally transcribed by the text-to-speech element and correct errors as necessary.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minerva Rivero whose telephone number is (571) 272-7626. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne Young can be reached on (571) 272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/014,677

Art Unit: 2655

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MR 9/12/05

W. R/YOUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER